



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,206	07/09/2002	Luc Dewaele	DEW A3001/JEK	1069
23364	7590	06/09/2004	EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			VERBITSKY, GAIL KAPLAN	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
				2859

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/088,206	DEWAELE, LUC	
	Examiner Gail Verbitsky	Art Unit 2859	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gail Verbitsky. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Kenney. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 06012004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 13,21 and 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cramer, Yamashita.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

06012859

G. Verbitsky

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant will file a response to the final rejection. Arguments have been discussed. Applicant's position is that the prior art does not teach to determine the wear of the rapier band by measuring its temperature. Applicant states that the examiner does not have motivation to combine references. The Examiner's position is that for 103 rejection, the Examiner has a teaching that the wear of the rapier band (moving object) needs to be determined and can be determined by using an electromagnetic radiation, and the secondary reference teaching that the wear of a moving object can be detected by using an electromagnetic radiation/ infrared radiation/ temperature..